

**This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

**Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.**

**Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):**

- **BLACK BORDERS**
- **TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- **FADED TEXT**
- **ILLEGIBLE TEXT**
- **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- **COLORED PHOTOS**
- **BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS**
- **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**



**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>A01N 57/20 // (A01N 57/20, 47:36)</b>		<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 96/34528</b>
			<b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 7 November 1996 (07.11.96)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US96/05951			<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CN, CZ, EE, GE, HU, IS, JP, KP, KR, LK, LR, LT, LV, MG, MK, MN, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, SG, SI, SK, TR, TT, UA, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
<b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 29 April 1996 (29.04.96)			
<b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 95/05431 5 May 1995 (05.05.95) FR			
<b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY [US/US]; 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 (US).			
<b>(72) Inventor; and</b> <b>(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only):</b> LICHTNER, Francis, Thomas, Jr. [US/US]; 20 East Periwinkle Lane, Newark, DE 19711 (US).			
<b>(74) Agent:</b> GREGORY, Theodore, C.; E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Legal Patent Records Center, 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 (US).			<b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> HERBICIDAL MIXTURES			
<b>(57) Abstract</b>  This invention relates to herbicidal mixtures of triflurosulfuron methyl and glyphosate, herbicidal compositions of said mixtures, and a method for the use of said mixtures to control undesired vegetation.			

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

TITLE  
HERBICIDAL MIXTURES  
FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to mixtures of herbicides that have a synergistic effect on weeds and which are safe to crop plants.


BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 The control of undesired vegetation is extremely important in achieving high crop efficiency. Achievement of selective control of the growth of weeds especially in such useful crops as rice, soybeans, sugar beets, corn, potatoes, wheat, barley, tomatoes and plantation crops among others is very desirable. Unchecked weed growth in such useful crops can cause significant reduction in productivity and thereby result in increased costs to the consumers. The control of undesired vegetation in noncrop areas is also important. The need for finding products that achieve such results continues to be commercially important.


15 U.S. patent 5,090,993 discloses triflurosulfuron methyl and describes its utility for selective weed control in sugar beets. U.S. patents 4,315,765 and 4,405,531 disclose herbicidal salts of glyphosate. WIPO publications WO 92/00377, WO 92/04449, WO 92/06201 and WO 92/19719 and U.S. Patents 4,940,835, 4,971,908, 5,188,642 and 5,310,667 disclose methods for genetically transforming sugar beets to make them  
20 resistant to glyphosate. None of these references disclose the mixtures of this invention.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to mixtures of methyl 2-[[[[[4-(dimethylamino)-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino]carbonyl]amino]sulfonyl]-3-methylbenzoate (triflurosulfuron methyl, Formula I)




25 and agriculturally suitable salts thereof  
with *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine (glyphosate, Formula II)



30 and agriculturally suitable salts, which have now been discovered to synergistically control weeds. This invention also relates to herbicidal compositions comprising effective amounts of the aforesaid mixtures and at least one of the

following: surfactant, solid or liquid diluent. This invention also relates to a method for controlling undesired vegetation comprising applying to the locus of the vegetation herbicidally effective amounts of the aforesaid mixtures.

Agriculturally suitable salts of *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine (Formula II) include, but are not limited to, the salts described by Formula IIa



wherein R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>3</sup> are independently selected from the group consisting of -OH and -OR<sup>4</sup>, wherein R<sup>4</sup> is a salt-forming cation selected from the group consisting of alkali metals, alkaline earth metals, ammonium, and alkyl ammonium and mixtures thereof, provided that no more than two of R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>3</sup> are -OR<sup>4</sup> when R<sup>4</sup> is ammonium or alkyl ammonium and that no more than two of R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>3</sup> are -OH; R<sup>4</sup> can also be alkyl sulfonium or alkyl sulfoxonium when R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>3</sup> are -OH. Alkyl ammonium includes mono-, di-, tri- and tetra-alkylammonium. Alkyl sulfonium means trialkylsulfonium, and alkyl sulfoxonium means trialkylsulfoxonium, where the alkyl groups are independently C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> alkyl.


The mixtures of the invention preferred for enhanced activity include:

1. A herbicidal mixture comprising the sulfonylurea of Formula I and the mono (isopropylammonium) salt of *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine (Formula II) having the common name glyphosate-isopropylammonium.
2. A herbicidal mixture comprising the sulfonylurea of Formula I and the mono (trimethylsulfonium) salt of *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine (Formula II) having the common name glyphosate-trimesium.

For reason of weed control spectrum and/or crop selectivity, the preferred crops for application of the mixtures of this invention are sugar beet lines, varieties and cultivars containing at least one gene that confers tolerance to herbicides containing *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine or an agriculturally suitable salt as the active ingredient.

#### DETAILS OF THE INVENTION

The Formula I sulfonylurea can be prepared as described in U.S. 5,090,993. The synthesis involves the coupling of the *N*-silylsulfonamide of Formula 1 with the heterocyclic carbamate of Formula 2.



The mixtures of the present invention can include the sulfonylurea of Formula I in the form of agriculturally suitable salts thereof. These can be prepared in a number of ways known in the art. For example, metal salts can be made by contacting the sulfonylurea of Formula I with a solution of an alkali or alkaline earth metal salt having a sufficiently basic anion (e.g., hydroxide, alkoxide, carbonate or hydride). Quaternary amine salts can be made by similar techniques.

Salts of the sulfonylurea of Formula I can also be prepared by exchange of one cation for another. Cationic exchange can be effected by direct contact of an aqueous solution of a salt of the sulfonylurea of Formula I (e.g., alkali or quaternary amine salt) with a solution containing the cation to be exchanged. This method is most effective when the desired salt containing the exchanged cation is insoluble in water and can be separated by filtration.

Exchange may also be effected by passing an aqueous solution of a salt of the sulfonylurea of Formula I (e.g., an alkali metal or quaternary amine salt) through a column packed with a cation-exchange resin containing the cation to be exchanged for that of the original salt and the desired product is eluted from the column. This method is particularly useful when the desired salt is water soluble (e.g., a potassium, sodium or calcium salt).

*N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine (Formula II) and its agriculturally suitable salts, including those of Formula IIa, can be prepared by methods described in U.S. 4,315,765 and U.S. 4,405,531.

#### Formulation/Utility

The mixtures of the Formula I and Formula II (including Formula IIa) compounds can be formulated in a number of ways:

- (a) the Formula I and Formula II compounds can be formulated separately and applied separately or applied simultaneously in an appropriate weight ratio, e.g., as a tank mix; or
- (b) the Formula I and Formula II compounds can be formulated together in the proper weight ratio.

Mixtures of the Formula I and Formula II compounds will generally be used in formulation with an agriculturally suitable carrier comprising a liquid or solid diluent

and/or a surfactant wherein the formulation is consistent with the physical properties of the active ingredients, mode of application and environmental factors such as soil type, moisture and temperature. Useful formulations include liquids such as solutions (including emulsifiable concentrates), suspensions, emulsions (including micro-emulsions and/or suspoemulsions) and the like which optionally can be thickened into gels. Useful formulations further include solids such as dusts, powders, granules, pellets, tablets, films, and the like which can be water-dispersible ("wetttable") or water-soluble. Active ingredients can be (micro)encapsulated and further formed into a suspension or solid formulation; alternatively the entire formulation of active ingredient can be encapsulated (or "overcoated"). Encapsulation can control or delay release of the active ingredients. Sprayable formulations can be extended in suitable media and used at spray volumes from about one to several hundred liters per hectare. High-strength compositions are primarily used as intermediates for further formulation.

The formulations will typically contain effective amounts of active ingredients, diluent and surfactant within the following approximate ranges which add up to 100 percent by weight.

	Weight Percent		
	<u>Active Ingredient</u>	<u>Diluent</u>	<u>Surfactant</u>
Water-Dispersible and Water-soluble Granules, Tablets and Powders.	5-90	0-94	1-15
Suspensions, Emulsions, Solutions (including Emulsifiable Concentrates)	5-50	40-95	0-15
Dusts	1-25	70-99	0-5
Granules and Pellets	0.01-99	5-99.99	0-15
High Strength Compositions	90-99	0-10	0-2

Typical solid diluents are described in Watkins, et al., *Handbook of Insecticide Dust Diluents and Carriers*, 2nd Ed., Dorland Books, Caldwell, New Jersey. Typical liquid diluents are described in Marsden, *Solvents Guide*, 2nd Ed., Interscience, New York, 1950. *McCutcheon's Detergents and Emulsifiers Annual*, Allured Publ. Corp., Ridgewood, New Jersey, as well as Sisely and Wood, *Encyclopedia of Surface Active Agents*, Chemical Publ. Co., Inc., New York, 1964, list surfactants and recommended uses. All formulations can contain minor amounts of additives to reduce foam, caking, corrosion, microbiological growth and the like, or thickeners to increase viscosity.

Surfactants include, for example, polyethoxylated alcohols, polyethoxylated alkylphenols, polyethoxylated sorbitan fatty acid esters, dialkyl sulfosuccinates, alkyl sulfates, alkylbenzene sulfonates, organosilicones, *N,N*-dialkyltaurates, lignin



sulfonates, naphthalene sulfonate formaldehyde condensates, polycarboxylates, and polyoxyethylene/polyoxypropylene block copolymers. Solid diluents include, for example, clays such as bentonite, montmorillonite, attapulgite and kaolin, starch, sugar, silica, talc, diatomaceous earth, urea, calcium carbonate, sodium carbonate and bicarbonate, and sodium sulfate. Liquid diluents include, for example, water, *N,N*-dimethylformamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, *N*-alkylpyrrolidone, ethylene glycol, polypropylene glycol, paraffins, alkylbenzenes, alkyl naphthalenes, oils of olive, castor, linseed, tung, sesame, corn, peanut, cotton-seed, soybean, rape-seed and coconut, fatty acid esters, ketones such as cyclohexanone, 2-heptanone, isophorone and 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone, and alcohols such as methanol, cyclohexanol, decanol and tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol.

Solutions, including emulsifiable concentrates, can be prepared by simply mixing the ingredients. Chemically stabilized aqueous sulfonylurea or agriculturally suitable sulfonylurea salt dispersions are taught in U.S. 4,936,900. Solution formulations of sulfonylureas with improved chemical stability are taught in U.S. 4,599,412. Dusts and powders can be prepared by blending and, usually, grinding as in a hammer mill or fluid-energy mill. Suspensions are usually prepared by wet-milling; see, for example, U.S. 3,060,084. Granules and pellets can be prepared by spraying the active material upon preformed granular carriers or by agglomeration techniques. See Browning, "Agglomeration", *Chemical Engineering*, December 4, 1967, pp 147-48, *Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook*, 4th Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963, pages 8-57 and following, and WO 91/13546. Pellets can be prepared as described in U.S. 4,172,714. Water-dispersible and water-soluble granules can be prepared as taught in U.S. 4,144,050, U.S. 3,920,442 and DE 3,246,493. Tablets can be prepared as taught in U.S. 5,180,587, U.S. 5,232,701 and U.S. 5,208,030. Films can be prepared as taught in GB 2,095,558 and U.S. 3,299,566.

For further information regarding formulation, see U.S. 3,235,361, Col. 6, line 16 through Col. 7, line 19 and Examples 10-41; U.S. 3,309,192, Col. 5, line 43 through Col. 7, line 62 and Examples 8, 12, 15, 39, 41, 52, 53, 58, 132, 138-140, 162-164, 166, 167 and 169-182; U.S. 2,891,855, Col. 3, line 66 through Col. 5, line 17 and Examples 1-4; Klingman, *Weed Control as a Science*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1961, pp 81-96; and Hance et al., *Weed Control Handbook*, 8th Ed., Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 1989.

In the following Examples, all percentages are by weight and all formulations are prepared in conventional ways.

Example AHigh Strength Concentrate

	triflusulfuron methyl	4.7%
	glyphosate-isopropylammonium	93.8%
5	silica aerogel	0.5%
	synthetic amorphous fine silica	1.0%.

Example BWettable Powder

	triflusulfuron methyl	5.0%
10	glyphosate-trimesium	60.0%
	dodecylphenol polyethylene glycol ether	2.0%
	sodium ligninsulfonate	4.0%
	sodium silicoaluminate	6.0%
	montmorillonite (calcined)	23.0%.

15

Example CGranule

	triflusulfuron methyl	0.8%
	glyphosate-isopropylammonium	9.2%
	attapulgit granules (low volatile matter, 0.71/0.30 mm; U.S.S. No. 25-50 sieves)	90.0%.

20

Example DAqueous Solution Suspension

	triflusulfuron methyl	0.1%
	glyphosate-isopropylammonium	24.9%
25	hydrated attapulgit	3.0%
	crude calcium ligninsulfonate	10.0%
	sodium dihydrogen phosphate	0.5%
	water	61.5%.

30

Example EExtruded Pellet

	triflusulfuron methyl	0.2%
	glyphosate-isopropylammonium	24.8%
	anhydrous sodium sulfate	10.0%
	crude calcium ligninsulfonate	5.0%
35	sodium alkylnaphthalenesulfonate	1.0%
	calcium/magnesium bentonite	59.0%.

Example FWettable Powder

	triflurosulfuron methyl	0.1%
	glyphosate-isopropylammonium	64.9%
5	dodecylphenol polyethylene glycol ether	2.0%
	sodium ligninsulfonate	4.0%
	sodium silicoaluminate	6.0%
	montmorillonite (calcined)	23.0%.

Utility

10 Test results indicate that mixtures of compounds of Formula I and Formula II (including Formula IIa) are highly active postemergent herbicides, providing unexpected synergistic control of selected grass and broadleaf weeds. Because of the efficacy of the mixtures of the present invention in controlling weeds that commonly infest sugar beet fields, they are particularly valued for their selective tolerance by sugar

15 beet plants containing at least one gene that confers resistance to *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine and its agriculturally suitable salts. Sugar beet varieties, cultivars, and lines that have been "gene-altered" to confer resistance to *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine can be developed by a variety of methods used individually or in combination including: modification to increase biosynthesis of

20 5-enolpyruvyl-3-phosphoshikimate synthase, incorporation of genes encoding 5-enolpyruvyl-3-phosphoshikimate synthase with structure modified to reduce its inhibition by *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine, and incorporation of genes encoding enzymes to degrade *N*-phosphonomethylglycine, by methods known in the art, including those described in WO 92/00377, WO 92/04449, WO 92/06201 and WO 92/19719,

25 U.S. 4,940,835, U.S. 4,971,908, U.S. 5,188,642 and U.S. 5,310,667.

In the context of this disclosure, a "line" is a group of plants of similar parentage that display little or no genetic variation between individuals for at least one trait. Such lines may be created by one or more generations of self-pollination and selection, or by vegetative propagation from a single parent, such as by tissue or cell culture techniques.

30 A "variety" or "cultivar" refers to an agronomically superior line that has been extensively tested and is (or was) being used for commercial production.

The Formula I and Formula II mixtures of this invention can additionally be used in combination with other commercial herbicides, insecticides or fungicides. A mixture of one or more additional herbicides with the Formula I and Formula II mixtures of this



35 invention may be particularly useful for weed control. In certain instances, combinations with other herbicides having similar spectrum of control but a different mode of action will be particularly advantageous for resistance management. Examples

of other herbicides as mixture partners are: barban, bromoxynil, clodinafop, chloridazon, chlorpropham, cycloate, dalapon, desmedipham, diallate, diethatyl, endotal, EPTC, fenoxaprop, fenoxaprop-P, fluazifop, ethofumesate, glufosinate, haloxyfop, lenacil, metamitron, pebulate, phenmedipham, propham, sethoxydim, TCA, tralkoxydim, trifluralin, and quizalofop.

An herbicidally effective amount of both the compounds of Formula I and Formula II will vary depending on environmental conditions, formulation, method of application, amount and type of vegetation present, etc. The use rate ratios of Formula I to Formula II are in general 1:8 to 1:500, with ratios of 1:15 to 1:100 preferred for most uses. In general, the Formula I compound is applied at a rate from 1 to 30 g ai/ha and Formula II compound is applied at a rate from 125 to 1500 g ai/ha. Preferably, Formula I compound is applied at a rate from 10 to 15 g ai/ha, and the Formula II compound is applied at a rate from 250 to 1000 g ai/ha.

For synergistic control of selected weed species in sugar beet crops, the mixtures of the invention are best applied when the sugar beet plants are at about the cotyledon to two-leaf stage and the weed plants are correspondingly young. One skilled in the art can readily determine application rates and ratios of herbicide of Formula I to the herbicide of Formula II as well as timing necessary for the desired level of weed control and crop safety.

The Formula I sulfonylurea (Compound 1) was tested in combination with the mono isopropylamine salt of *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine (Formula II) (Compound 2).



The following protocol was used for the test whose results are listed in Table 1. The data demonstrate the surprising efficacy of the Formula I and Formula II mixtures of this invention against specific weeds. The weed control afforded by the mixtures of this invention are not limited, however, to these species.

### Test Protocol

Sugar beet crops were planted during the summer in an area of France having high natural infestations of weed species such as *Amaranthus retroflexus* (redroot pigweed) (AMARE), *Chenopodium album* (common lambsquarters) (CHEAL), and *Polygonum persicaria* (ladysthumb) (POLPE). Test plots were treated postemergence to the crops and weeds at the two-leaf stage of the sugar beet plants. Compound 1 was formulated as a single active ingredient in 50% strength dry flowable granules. Compound 2 was formulated as a high-surfactant aqueous solution containing the equivalent of 120 g/L of glyphosate acid (Formula II). Herbicides were applied to the test area by diluting the formulated herbicides in an aqueous solution to the appropriate concentration to deliver the desired use rate; spray volumes of about 150 L/ha were typically used. The prepared test solutions were then sprayed onto the test plots through standard T-Jet flat-fan nozzles. When applied as mixtures, Compounds 1 and 2 were tank mixed. No additional adjuvants such as surfactants were added to the spray solution. Individual treatments were replicated three times at the field trial location.

Assessments of weed control were made by visual inspection about 21 days following herbicide application. A visual rating system was used based on a percentage scale from 0 to 100%, relative to an adjacent untreated control plot or test area. On this scale 0% represents no visual differences relative to an untreated control, 100% represents complete kill of the given crop or weed species.

Colby's equation was used to calculate the expected additive herbicidal effect of the mixtures of Compound 1 and Compound 2. Colby's equation (Colby, S. R. "Calculating Synergistic and Antagonistic Responses of Herbicide Combinations," *Weeds*, 15(1), pp 20-22 (1967)) calculates the expected additive effect of herbicidal mixtures, and for two active ingredients is of the form:

$$P_{a+b} = P_a + P_b - (P_a P_b / 100)$$

wherein  $P_{a+b}$  is the percentage effect of the mixture expected from additive contribution of the individual components,

$P_a$  is the observed percentage effect of the first active ingredient at the same use rate as in the mixture, and

$P_b$  is the observed percentage effect of the second active ingredient at the same use rate as in the mixture.

Table 1 represents visual assessments of control of specific weeds with Compound 1 and Compound 2 applied alone as single active ingredients, applied as a mixture of the two active ingredients of Compound 1 and Compound 2, and the expected additive effect of the herbicidal mixture of Compound 1 and Compound 2 (Colby's equation). Different ratios of Compound 1 to Compound 2, and different

formulation types, also provide useful weed control from the combination of the two herbicides.

**TABLE 1\***

Effect of Compound 1 and Compound 2 as Active Ingredients Alone and in Mixture


CMPD 1	CMPD 2		AMARE	CHEAL	POLPE
<i>Alone</i>					
10	0	Mean	0	0	0
15	0	Mean	10	10	10
0	250	Mean	82	46	47
0	500	Mean	93	83	67
0	1000	Mean	99	88	82
<i>Mixtures</i>					
10	250	Mean	86	52	50
		Colby†	82	46	47
10	500	Mean	96	85	82
		Colby	93	83	67
10	1000	Mean	99	97	96
		Colby	99	93	82
15	250	Mean	91	72	60
		Colby	84	51	52
15	500	Mean	98	85	82
		Colby	94	85	70
15	1000	Mean	100	98	98
		Colby	99	94	84

- 5 \* Application rates are expressed in g ai/ha. Application rates for Compound 2 are expressed in terms of equivalent glyphosate acid (Formula II). Data are reported as percent control.


† Colby, S. R. "Calculating Synergistic and Antagonistic Responses of Herbicide Combinations," *Weeds*, 15(1), pp 20-22 (1967).

CLAIMS

1. A herbicidal mixture comprising the compound of Formula I



- 5 which is methyl 2-[[[4-(dimethylamino)-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]-amino]carbonyl]amino]sulfonyl]-3-methylbenzoate (triflusulfuron methyl) and agriculturally suitable salts thereof and the compound of Formula II



- 10 which is *N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine (glyphosate) and agriculturally suitable salts thereof.

2. A mixture of Claim 1 wherein the compound of Formula II is in the form of its mono isopropylamine salt.
3. A mixture of Claim 1 wherein the compound of Formula II is in the form of its mono trimethylsulfonium salt.
- 15 4. An agriculturally suitable composition for controlling the growth of undesired vegetation comprising an effective amount of the herbicidal mixtures of Claim 1 and at least one of the following: surfactant, solid or liquid diluent.
5. An agriculturally suitable composition for controlling the growth of undesired vegetation comprising an effective amount of the herbicidal mixtures of Claim 2 and at least one of the following: surfactant, solid or liquid diluent.
- 20 6. An agriculturally suitable composition for controlling the growth of undesired vegetation comprising an effective amount of the herbicidal mixtures of Claim 3 and at least one of the following: surfactant, solid or liquid diluent.
7. The composition of Claim 4 wherein the undesired vegetation is the vegetation in a crop of sugar beet plants containing at least one gene that confers resistance to glyphosate and its agriculturally suitable salts.
- 25 8. A method for controlling the growth of undesired vegetation comprising contacting the locus to be protected with a herbicidally effective amount of the composition of Claim 4.

9. A method for controlling the growth of undesired vegetation comprising contacting the locus to be protected with a herbicidally effective amount of the composition of Claim 5.

5 10. A method for controlling the growth of undesired vegetation comprising contacting the locus to be protected with a herbicidally effective amount of the composition of Claim 6.

11. The method of Claim 8 wherein the locus to be protected is a crop of sugar beet plants containing at least one gene that confers resistance to glyphosate and its agriculturally suitable salts.



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

national Application No

PCT/US 96/05951

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 A01N57/20 //(A01N57/20,47:36)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A01N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO,A,93 25081 (DU PONT ;BEESTMAN GEORGE BERNARD (US)) 23 December 1993 see page 1, line 5 - line 9 see page 2, line 1 - page 4, line 34 see page 14, line 9 - line 10 see page 15, line 28 - line 30 see page 16, line 20 - line 21 see page 16, line 35 - page 17, line 3 see claim 10 ---	1-11
A	WO,A,92 08353 (HOECHST AG) 29 May 1992 see page 1, paragraph 5 - page 3, paragraph 1 see page 7, paragraph 3 - page 8, paragraph 3 --- -/--	1-11

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

\*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

\*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

\*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

\*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

\*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*&amp;\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

23 August 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

10.09.96

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Lamers, W

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

National Application No

PCT/US 96/05951

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP,A,0 336 587 (DU PONT) 11 October 1989 see page 3, line 26 - page 4, line 25 see page 47, line 8 - line 9 ---	1-11
A	EP,A,0 318 276 (DU PONT) 31 May 1989 see column 8, line 53 - column 9, line 8 see claims 1,6 ---	1-11
A	WO,A,89 04607 (NOEVENYVEDELM I KUTATO INTEZET) 1 June 1989 see claim 1 ---	1-11
A	GB,A,2 169 806 (RHONE POULENC AGROCHIMIE) 23 July 1986 see claim 1 -----	1-11

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 96/05951

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A-9325081	23-12-93	AU-B- 4528593 CN-A- 1081567 EP-A- 0647097 FI-A- 945903 HU-A- 69067 JP-T- 7507802 NZ-A- 253878	04-01-94 09-02-94 12-04-95 15-12-94 28-08-95 31-08-95 21-12-95
WO-A-9208353	29-05-92	AU-B- 8848791 CA-A- 2096115 EP-A- 0557308 JP-T- 6502401	11-06-92 14-05-92 01-09-93 17-03-94
EP-A-0336587	11-10-89	AU-B- 3430089 BG-A- 51434 DE-T- 68908232 DK-B- 169919 EP-A- 0406322 ES-T- 2058502 JP-T- 3503417 LT-A,B 682 LV-B- 10444 RU-C- 2019105 RU-C- 2047607 WO-A- 8909214 US-A- 5090993	16-10-89 14-05-93 20-01-94 03-04-95 09-01-91 01-11-94 01-08-91 31-01-95 20-02-96 15-09-94 10-11-95 05-10-89 25-02-92
EP-A-0318276	31-05-89	US-A- 4959095 AU-B- 2820389 CN-B- 1031546 EP-A- 0394324 JP-T- 3501479 OA-A- 9626 WO-A- 8904606	25-09-90 14-06-89 17-04-96 31-10-90 04-04-91 30-04-93 01-06-89
WO-A-8904607	01-06-89	NONE	
GB-A-2169806	23-07-86	FR-A- 2576181 AU-B- 577658 AU-B- 5259586	25-07-86 29-09-88 31-07-86

National Application No  
PCT/US 96/05951

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)